()-(3S)-3-(Tosylamino)butano-4-lactone, a Versatile Chiral Synthon for the Enantioselective Synthesis of Different Types of Polyamine Macrocycles: Determination of the Absolute Configuration of $(-)$ - (R) -Budmunchiamine A

by Richard Detterbeck¹), Armin Guggisberg, Kasim Popaj²), and Manfred Hesse*

Organisch-chemisches Institut der Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich (e-mail: mtbohley@oci.unizh.ch)

 $(-)$ - $(3S)$ -3-(Tosylamino)butano-4-lactone (1) and its derivative ethyl $(-)$ - $(3S)$ -4-iodo-3-(tosylamino)butanoate (2) are presented as easily accessible chiral building blocks for the construction of a range of different macrolactam frameworks important for the synthesis of naturally occurring polyamine alkaloids as well as for establishing a substance library of such compounds, including S-containing derivatives for biological tests. In addition to that, the absolute configuration of the spermine alkaloid $(-)(R)$ -budmunchiamine A (3) from Albizia amara was determined by total synthesis according to the new methodology.

Introduction. – Polyamine alkaloids, either in their open-chain, or, more importantly for this report, in their macrolactam form, are natural products that are widely but rather unsystematically distributed throughout the plant and animal kingdom.

In the course of our polyamine-chemistry studies, we were searching for a universal synthetic method that would allow the construction of different types of naturally occurring macrolactams by a handful of similar and $-$ even more important in this context – simple reactions, starting from a single central starting material. So far, all known naturally occurring polyamine macrolactams were isolated in pure enantiomeric form. The desired synthon, therefore, has to have a defined center of chirality, enabling the synthesis of enantiomerically pure products from the very beginning. It is our purpose to show in this report, that $(-)-(3S)-3-($ tosylamino)butano-4-lactone (1) could serve as such a highly versatile starting material – which itself is easily obtainable in a well-known three-step sequence from inexpensive L -aspartic acid $-\frac{1}{2}$ making it possible to synthesize a greater range of optically active polyamine macrolactams of different ring sizes. Besides the successful synthesis of some unnatural spermine and spermidine macrocycles, we could test this new method especially in verifying the proposed, but still unknown, absolute configuration of the spermine alkaloid budmunchiamine $A(3)$, which was isolated from the seeds of *Albizia amara* BoLV. (Leguminosae) [1] and from stem bark of A. schimperana OLIV. [2].

Results and Discussion. - The preparation of lactone 1 from L-aspartic acid is well known in the literature $[3-7]$; therefore, multigram amounts of this material were easily accessible for us. Furthermore, *Jefford* and *Wang* [3] described a method to

¹⁾ Part of the Ph.D. Thesis of R . D., University of Zürich, 2002.

²) Part of the Ph.D. Thesis of *K. P.*, University of Zürich, 1999.

transform 1 into ethyl $(-)-(3S)$ -4-iodo-3-(tosylamino)butanoate (2), the second important synthon, with iodotrimethylsilane in $CH₂Cl₂/EtOH$ under mild conditions, providing us also with enough of 2 to establish the usefulness of the two mentioned reagents for our synthetic purposes (Scheme 1).

a) Me₃SiI, EtOH/CH₂Cl₂, 0° \rightarrow r.t, 4 h, 78%.

Special attention should be drawn to the usage of the tosyl group, which, on the one hand, serves as a protecting group of outstanding stability, but, on the other hand enables the highly fruitful nucleophilic substitutions necessary to built up the aspired macrolactam framework of the target structures.

Inspired by one of our synthetic projects, we first examined the possibility to prolong the C-skeleton of iodo ester 2 by a cuprate reaction (see Scheme 2). In principle, this prolongation by use of commercially available organolithium compounds has been described [3] [4] [6]. In our hands, we found it somewhat tricky to work out the experimental details of the cuprate forming, which allowed the use of in situ prepared $(3Z)$ -hex-3-enyllithium (4) from $(3Z)$ -1-iodohex-3-ene (5). The latter was easily obtained by a simple transformation from commercially available (3Z)-3-hexen-1-ol (6). Finally, we succeeded in preparing the tosylated β -amino acid 7 from 4 and 2 using freshly prepared $CuBr \cdot Me₂S$ as the copper-reagent precursor, a special temperature protocol, and a solvent mixture of $Et₂O$ and THF. As a side reaction, we always observed the formation of the aziridinacetate 8, which even rearranged under the basic working conditions at a temperature above -30° to the α , β -unsaturated derivative 9. The ester functionality in 7 furnished, after $Cu(OAc)₂$ -assisted aminolysis with excess putrescine (10), the amide 11, which itself could be easily tosylated to the ditosylprotected derivative 12, the definite ring precursor. Following ideas from the literature $[8-10]$, we decided to accomplish the ring closure using propane-1,3-diol bis(ptoluenesulfonate) (13) , which was synthesized by a known procedure [11], and cesium carbonate as a base in DMF at elevated temperature. The two-fold substitution occurred smoothly under these conditions, enabling us to isolate the desired macrolactam 14 in 78% yield for the last step. Besides the normal spectroscopic characterization, the structure of 14 was firmly established by an X-ray single-crystal analysis (see Fig. 1). Detosylation by a very well-established electrochemical method $[12][13]$ yielded the free spermidine macrolactam 15 after simple extractive workup (see Scheme 2).

Motivated by this rapid access to 15, we found it now challenging to examine lactone 1 in the aminolysis reaction with different polyamines (see *Scheme 3*). First of all, we observed a smooth reaction of 1 and putrescine (10) under somewhat forced conditions, which yielded amino-hydroxy-amide 16; the same was true for the use of

a) PPh₃, 1H-imidazole, I₂, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 1 h; 89%. b) 'BuLi, Et₂O, $-78^{\circ} \rightarrow$ r.t., 2 h. c) CuBr·Me₂S, Et₂O/THF, $-78^{\circ} \rightarrow -20^{\circ}$, 30 min. d) 2, Et₂O/THF, $-60^{\circ} \rightarrow -10^{\circ}$, 3 h; 75%. e) H₂N(CH₂₎₄NH₂ (**10**), Cu(OAc)₂, r.t., 8 h; quant. f) TsCl, Et₃N, CHCl₃, r.t, 2 h; 93%. g) TsO(CH₂)₃OTs (13), Cs₂CO₃, DMF, 65°, 24 h, 78%. h) Electrolysis, EtOH, 5° ; 92%.

propane-1,3-diamine, which resulted in formation of the homologous compound 17. Both substances led, after tosylation of the free amino group, *i.e.*, of the more nucleophilic and therefore reactive site in comparison with the OHgroup, to the corresponding ditosyl-protected derivatives 18 and 19. For 18, ring closure to 20 was accomplished as mentioned above, i.e., 13 was again used as electrophile. To build up a spermidine macrocycle from 19, we, of course, had to switch from 13 to a CH₂-elongated reagent with

Figure. ORTEP [14] Plot of the doubly N-tosylated macrolactam 14

two α , ω -leaving groups, e.g., butan-1,4-diol bis(p-toluenesulfonate) (21) or butan-1,4diol bis(methane-sulfonate) (22) [11]. This finally generated in macrolactam 23.

Macrolactams such as 20, with a 4-3 incorporation of the spermidine subunit, are known as representatives of the so-called dihydroperiphylline type of polyamine-class alkaloids. On the contrary, a 3-4 incorporation as in 23 classifies this principle structure as belonging to the group of celacinnine-type macrolactams. It was interesting to note that the 90% yield for the ring closure to 20 was surprisingly high for the formation of a 13-membered ring. A matching template effect of the cesium cation *may* contribute to this success, as well as the presence of the two sulfonamide groups, whose location obviously seems to favor the ring closure in this case. On the other hand, the yield for the ring closure of 19 to 23 was always significantly lower, ca. 50%, although we varied the reaction conditions in a broad manner. The best results were observed with 21 as an electrophile in DMSO at 45° and $-$ surprisingly $-$ potassium carbonate as a base.

a) $Cu(OAc)$, CHCl₃, 6 h, reflux; 91%. b) CHCl₃, r.t., 8 h; 96%. c) TsCl, DMF, r.t., 5 h; 81%. d) TsO(CH₂)₃OTs (13) , Cs₂CO₃, DMF, 65°, 24 h; 90%. *e*) TsO(CH₂)₄OTs (21), K₂CO₃, DMSO, 45°, 48 h; 48%.

We want to point out that this simple reaction sequence, starting with the chiral lactone 1, allowed rapid access to both above-mentioned principle types of naturally occurring spermidine macrocycles or of their precursors. Until now, preliminary experiments to convert the primary OH group of 20 or 23 to a leaving-group functionality have been so far unsuccessful (Scheme 3).

Strongly encouraged by these results, we next expanded the method for synthesis of the principle skeleton of spermine macrolactams, also widespread in nature. For this, we first prepared the partially protected amine 24, needed for aminolysis (see Scheme 4), by aza-Michael addition of putrescine (10) to acrylonitrile (\rightarrow 25) and twofold tosylation *via* 26. The desired 24 could be isolated in almost quantitative yield, by application of a method for reducing nitriles with a mixture of N aBH₄ and CF₃COOH in THF [15]. Successful aminolysis of 1 with amine 24 to ring precursor 27 could be achieved in good yield by refluxing both components in PrOH for a prolonged time. The use of EtOH instead of PrOH seems to result in some transesterification and, therefore, decreased yields. With 27 in hand, ring closure to 28, the desired spermine analog of macrocycles 20 and 23, could be accomplished in moderate yield. We want to emphasize at this point that we do not have to use high-dilution conditions, which are often considered as unavoidable for synthesizing large rings. It had not escaped our notice that this approach should also be highly useful in the construction of other 13 and 17-membered macrolactams, in addition to those mentioned.

a) MeOH, r.t., 8 h; 84%. b) TsCl, Et₃N, THF, r.t., 6 h; 99%. c) NaBH₄, CF₃COOH, THF, r.t., 3 h; 98%. d) 1, Cu(OAc)₂, ⁱPrOH, r.t., 4 d; 71%. *e*) TsO(CH₂)₃OTs (**13**), Cs₂CO₃, DMSO, r.t., 24 h; 48%.

Looking for some application of this enantioselective procedure in the field of polyamine alkaloids, we focused on the Albizia amara alkaloid budmunchiamine A (3). Recently, we reported the racemic synthesis [16] of this alkaloid. We decided to synthesize 3 again (see *Scheme 5*), but now in an enantioselective manner, to compare the chiroptical properties of the natural and synthetic compounds, therefore enabling a conclusion about its absolute configuration. To this end, we could profit from our experience obtained in synthesizing 7 for a similar cuprate reaction. Now commercially available 1-iododecane (29) was subjected to an iodo-lithium exchange reaction. The resulting organolithium compound was transformed in situ into the appropriate low-order cuprate 30, which gave in a nucleophilic substitution on 2 the tosylated β -amino acid derivative 31 in 75% yield, based on 29. For the following amidation,

a) 1. BuLi, Et₂O, $-78^\circ \rightarrow$ r.t., 2 h; 2. CuBr · Me₂S, THF/Et₂O, $-78^\circ \rightarrow -20^\circ$, 30 min. b) **2**, THF/Et₂O, $-78^\circ \rightarrow$ r.t.; 75%. c) aq. NaOH soln./EtOH, r.t.; 98%. d) 24, DCC, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, r.t.; 94%. e) 1,3-dibromopropane, Cs_2CO_3 , DMF, r.t. f) Electrolysis, EtOH, 5°; 47%. g) 1. 37% formalin, AcOH, 0°; 2. NaCNBH₃, 0°; 91%.

the highest yields of ring precursor 32 could be achieved in a classical manner, i.e., first by saponification of the ethyl ester 31 to the free acid 33 and subsequent coupling with 24 by usual DCC/DMAP (dicyclohexylcarbodiimide/N,N-dimethylpyridin-4-amine) activation. Cyclization of 32 was achieved by deprotonation with 2.0 equiv. of cesium carbonate, followed by treatment with 1.0 equiv. of a diluted 1,3-dibromopropane solution in DMF. Deprotection of the resulting tritosyl-substituted amide mixture 34/ 35, which was again performed by electrolysis, yielded, after purification of the crude product, the desired macrocyclic lactam 36 in 47% yield. The relatively low yield is due to the concomitant elimination reaction producing the allyl amine 37 as by-product in 41% yield. Separation of 36/37 could be achieved by standard column chromatography. The completion of the budmunchiamine $A(3)$ synthesis required the methylation of all three secondary-amino functionalities. For this purpose, 36 was treated for 7 min with 37% aqueous formaldehyde (formalin) and AcOH at 0° . Then NaCNBH₃ was added and the mixture stirred overnight at room temperature. Final column chromatography of the crude product afforded the target compound 3 in 88% yield. Synthetic $(-)$ - (R) budmunchiamine A (3) was characterized by IR, mass, ${}^{1}H$ - and ${}^{13}C$ -NMR spectra and found to be identical with the natural product and with the formerly obtained synthetic (\pm) -budmunchiamine A [16]. Determination of the enantiomer purity of 3 by NMR spectroscopy showed that no epimerization had occurred during its synthesis. Both, the synthetic and the natural compound showed the same specific rotation; therefore, the absolute configuration of $(-)(R)$ -budmunchiamine A (3) has been established.

Finally, we want to present the possibility of incorporating an S-atom in the side chain of the macrolactams in order to obtain some analogs with a heteroatom (see Scheme 6). This intention was motivated by the potential biological activity of such compounds. Once again, iodo ester 2 represented an ideal starting material, enabling a very smooth reaction with a range of thiols, firstly deprotonated with KOHin EtOH. To present one concrete example, octane-1-thiol (38) reacted in a 89% yield with 2 to derivative 39. The usual procedure of setting up the macrolactam, *i.e.*, aminolysis to 40, tosylation, and ring closure of intermediate 41 showed no problems, the tosylated macrocycle 42 being isolated in a 45% overall yield, starting from 2. Oxidation at the Satom offers the possibility to tune the polarity of the compounds; so careful treatment with 1.0 equiv. of mCPBA (m-chloroperbenzoic acid) at -20° resulted in sulfoxide derivative 43, whereas an excess of mCPBA at room temperature led to the sulfone 44. The corresponding deprotected macrocycles $45 - 47$ were achieved by final electrochemical detosylation.

Conclusions. – The chiral $(-)$ - $(3S)$ -3- $(tosylamino)$ butano-4-lactone (1) – easily accessable from very cheap L-aspartic acid – was used for the straightforward synthesis of different polyamine macrocycles, whose macrolactam framework is incorporated in naturally occurring spermidine and spermine alkaloids. For this purpose, 1, or its synthetic successor, iodo ester 2, provided the needed reactivity and chirality, furnishing the targeted macrocycles by always the same reaction sequence under easy reaction conditions, *i.e.*, in a user-friendly way. Different ring sizes were realized by simply varying the chain length of the involved amines and electrophiles, without departing from the general synthetic strategy. Furthermore, heteroatoms such as an Satom could be incorporated in the molecules, enabling a broader range of compounds for biological tests. Finally, this method was successfully used in performing the enantioselective synthesis of $(-)$ - (R) -budmunchiamine A (3).

We thank the analytical departments of our institute for all measurements and the Swiss National Science Foundation for generous financial support. Dr. K. Drandarov is thanked for his suggestion to use $Cu(OAc)_2$ as a catalyst for the aminolysis reactions and especially Dr. A. Linden for X-ray structure determination.

a) KOH, EtOH, r.t., 15 min; 89%. b) 1. $H_2N(CH_2)_4NH_2(10)$, Cu(OAc)₂, r.t., 8 h; quant.; 2. TsCl, Et₃N, CHCl₃, r.t., 2 h; quant. c) TsO(CH₂)₃OTs (13), Cs₂CO₃, DMF, 50°, 24 h; 81%. d) mCPBA (1.0 equiv.), CH₂Cl₂, $-45^{\circ} \rightarrow -20^{\circ}$, 1 h; 87%. *e*) *m*CPBA (2.5 equiv.), CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 4 h; 94%. *f*) Electrolysis, EtOH, 5°; 92%.

Experimental Part

General. All commercially available reagents were used without further purification. Solvents were either puriss. p.a. grade (Fluka) or distilled prior to use. THF and Et₂O for the cuprate reaction were dried over Nabenzophenone and freshly distilled before use. Dry DMSO was purchased from Fluka and stored over 4-Å molecular sieves. CuBr · Me₂S was prepared by recrystallization of CuBr from Me₂S. Reactions were normally not carried out under N_2 , unless otherwise stated; they were monitored by TLC (Merck precoated plates, silica gel 60 F_{254}). All extracts were dried before evaporation over MgSO₄, unless otherwise stated. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel 60 (230 - 400 mesh ASTM) from Merck. M.p. Mettler FP5. Optical rotations $[\alpha]_D^{21}$: in CHCl₃ (*Fluka* for IR spectroscopy), except where noted; *Perkin-Elmer 241* polarimeter. IR Spectra $[cm^{-1}]$: in CHCl₃ (*Fluka* for IR spectroscopy); *Perkin-Elmer 781*. NMR Spectra: in CDCl₃, except where noted; *Bruker ARX-300* (300 (¹H) and 75 (¹³C) MHz) or *Bruker DRX-600* (600 (¹H) and 150 (¹³C) MHz); chemical

shifts δ in ppm rel. to Me.Si as internal standard; coupling constants J in Hz. MS: Finnigan SSO-700 for chemical ionization (CI) with NH₃, Finnigan MAT-90 for electron impact (EI, 70 eV), and Finnigan TSO-700 for electrospray ionization (ESI); m/z (rel. int. in %).

 $(3Z)$ -1-Iodohex-3-ene (5). A soln. of PPh₃ (13.35 g, 51.0 mmol) and 1H-imidazole (3.47 g, 51.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 ml) was treated slowly with I₂ (12.9 g, 51.0 mmol). To this heterogeneous mixture, commercially available $(3Z)$ -hex-3-en-1-ol $(6; 4.25 g, 42.4 mmol, 5.0 ml)$ was added dropwise. After stirring at r.t. for 1 h, the solvent was mostly evaporated and the residue filtered over silica gel (pentane/Et₂O 4:1): 8.1 g (91%) of 5. Pale red liquid, which was considered sufficiently pure for use in the next step. IR: 3000m, 2960s, 2930s, 2880s, 1650m, 1460s, 1425s, 1400m, 1375m, 1310m, 1300m, 1240s, 1170s, 1110w, 1070m, 1025w, 985m, 920m, 895w, 865m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 5.58 – 5.48 (m, 1 H); 5.33 – 5.24 (m, 1 H); 3.13 (t, J = 7.3, 2 H); 2.65 (dq, 2 H); 2.09 – 2.01 (quint.-like m, 2 H); 0.98 (t, J = 7.5, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 134.2 (d); 127.2 (d); 31.4 (t); 20.7 (t); 14.1 (q); 5.5 (t) .

Ethyl (+)-(3R,7Z)-3-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}dec-7-enoate (**7**). 'BuLi (12.4 mmol) was added to a soln. of 5 in dry Et₂O (10 ml) at -78° under N₂. After 10 min at -78° , the mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. for 1.5 h. After recooling to -78° and diluting with dry THF (20 ml), CuBr Me_2S (0.64 g, 3.10 mmol) was added, the cooling bath removed, and the mixture allowed to warm up until all crystalline CuBr $Me₂S$ was dissolved (which normally happened below -40°), yielding a dark red soln. of the cuprate. Once again, this soln. was cooled to -78° and treated dropwise with 2 (1.27 g, 3.10 mmol) in dry THF (5 ml). The temp. was slowly raised until TLC showed no more educt. Then, the mixture was quenched with sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. and extracted with Et₂O. Evaporation and purification of the residue by CC (SiO₂, hexane/AcOEt 4:1) yielded 0.85 g (75%) of 7. Colorless oil. $\left[\alpha\right]_D = +13.56$ ($c = 1.60$, CHCl₃). IR: 3540w, 3370m, 3020w, 2960s, 2920s, 2860m, 1725s, 1600m, 1440w, 1420w, 1390w, 1370s, 1330s, 1300w, 1250s, 1155s, 1090s, 1040s, 950w, 910s, 845w, 810w, 650m. $1H\text{-NMR (CDCl}_3)$: 7.75 $(d, J = 8.3, 2 \text{ H})$; 7.28 $(d, J = 8.3, 2 \text{ H})$; 5.35 – 5.29 $(m, 1 \text{ H})$; 5.23 – 5.14 $(m, d, 2 \text{ H})$; 4.11 – 4.03 $(m, 2 H)$; 3.54 - 3.51 $(m, 1 H)$; 2.45 - 2.40 $(dd, s, 4 H)$; 2.36 $(dd, J = 4.7, 2.4, 1 H)$; 2.02 - 1.87 (sept.-like m, 4 H); 1.51 - 1.20 $(m, 4$ H); 1.22 $(t, J = 7.1, 3$ H); 0.92 $(t, J = 7.5, 3$ H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 171.4 (s); 143.3 (s); 138.3 (s); 132.3 (d); 129.7 (d); 128.2 (d); 127.1 (d); 60.7 (t); 50.7 (d); 38.7 (t); 34.2 (t); 26.4 (t); 25.8 (t); 21.5 (q); 20.5 (t); 14.3 (q); 14.1 (q).

(3R,7Z)-N-(4-Aminobutyl)-3-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}dec-7-enamide (11). At r.t., 7 (0.80 g, 2.18 mmol) was added to liquified butane-1,4-diamine (= putrescine; 10 ; 4.0 g, 45 mmol). The aminolysis was supported by a cat. amount of $Cu(OAc)$. After stirring for 8 h at r.t., the mixture was taken up in H₂O and extracted vigorously with CH₂Cl₂. The org. layer was subsequently washed with H₂O and dil. aq. NH₃ soln. Drying (Na₂SO₄) and evaporation resulted in 0.89 g (quant.) of 11. Colorless, but slightly turbid oil, which was pure enough for the next step. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = +6.17$ ($c = 1.12$, CHCl₃). IR: 3400–3050(br.), 3000w, 2930s, 2860m, 1655s, 1600w, 1520m, 1410m, 1330m, 1305w, 1290w, 1260w, 1160s, 1090m, 1020w, 950w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.75 (*d, J* = 8.1, 2 H); 7.28 $(d, J = 8.1, 2$ H); 6.55 (br. t, 1 H); 5.35 - 5.27 $(m, 1$ H); 5.20 - 5.08 $(m, 1$ H); 3.50 - 3.42 $(m, 1$ H); $3.28 - 3.15$ $(m, 4\text{H})$; 2.42 $(s, 3\text{H})$; 2.22 $(dd, J = 14.6, 4.6, 2\text{H})$; $2.0 - 1.82$ $(m, 4\text{H})$; $1.60 - 1.40$ $(m, 6\text{H})$; $1.35 - 1.13$ $(m, 2 H)$; 0.92 (t, J = 7.5, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 170.6 (s); 143.2 (s); 138.3 (s); 132.1 (d); 129.6 (d); 128.3 (d); 127.1 (d); 51.5 (d); 40.0 (t); 39.3 (t); 34.2 (t); 27.5 (t); 26.5 (t); 26.0 (t); 21.5 (q); 20.5 (t); 14.3 (q)³). ESI-MS: 432 $(5, [M+Na]^+), 410 (100, [M+H]^+).$

(3R,7Z)-3-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}-N-(4-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}butyl)dec-7-enamide (12). To a soln. of 11 (0.80 g, 1.95 mmol) and Et₃N (0.36 g, 3.6 mmol, 0.50 ml) in CHCl₃ (10 ml), TsCl (0.372 g, 1.95 mmol) was added at r.t. After stirring for 1 h, the mixture was diluted with H2O and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. Evaporation and purification by CC (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 19:1) yielded **12** (1.10 g, 93%). Colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -1.45$ (c = 1.10, CHCl₃). IR: 3480s, 3280s, 3020w, 3000m, 2930s, 2860m, 1655s, 1600m, 1525m, 1410m, 1330s, 1305w, 1290w, 1160s, 1090s, 1020w, 950w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.76 – 7.72 (2d, 4 H); 7.31 – 7.28 (2d, 4 H); 6.17 $(br. t, 1 H)$; 5.86 $(d, J = 8.2, 1 H)$; 5.31 – 5.24 $(m, 2 H)$; 5.11 – 5.07 $(m, 1 H)$; 3.55 – 3.42 $(m, 1 H)$; 3.25 – 3.10 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.97 - 2.88 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.42 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.41 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.28 $(dd, J=14.6, 4.8, 2 H)$; 1.95 - 1.79 $(m, 4 H)$; $1.58 - 1.50$ (m, 4 H); $1.45 - 1.35$ (m, 2 H); $1.28 - 1.08$ (m, 2 H); 0.90 (t, $J = 7.5$, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 170.9 (s); 143.4 (s); 143.3 (s); 138.1 (s); 136.8 (s); 132.1 (d); 129.7 (d); 129.6 (d); 128.3 (d); 127.1 (2d); 51.7 (d); 42.9 (t); 40.5 (t); 38.8 (t); 34.2 (t); 26.8 (t); 26.5 (t); 26.4 (t); 25.8 (t); 21.5 (2q); 20.5 (t); 14.3 (q). ESI-MS: 586 (100, $M +$ Na]⁺), 564 (19, $[M+H]$ ⁺).

()-(4R)-4-[(4Z)-Hept-4-enyl]-5,9-bis[(4-methylphenyl)-sulfonyl]-1,5,9-triazacyclotridecan-2-one (14). A mixture of 12 (1.0 g, 1.0 equiv., 1.77 mmol) and Cs_2CO_3 (1.27 g, 2.2 equiv., 3.90 mmol) in DMF (20 ml) was

 $3)$ Due to signal overlap, two CH₂ signals are not reported.

stirred at 65° for 5 min; then, $0.82 \text{ g } (1.20 \text{ equiv.}, 2.12 \text{ mmol})$ of propane-1,3-diol bis(4-methylbenzenesulfonate) $(13)^4$) were introduced, and the mixture was stirred at 65 $^{\circ}$ for 24 h. Finally, the DMF was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in H₂O and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. Evaporation and purification of the crude product by CC ($SiO₂$, AcOEt/hexane 2:1) gave 0.83 g (78%) of 14. Colorless oil, which was crystallized for X-ray analysis from EtOH. M.p. 141 – 142[°] (EtOH). $[a]_D$ = +10.0 (c = 2.0, CHCl₃). IR: 3540(br.), 3440*m*, 3380*m*, 3020*w*, 3000m, 2930s, 2860s, 1660s, 1600m, 1520m, 1490w, 1455m, 1400w, 1330s, 1300s, 1290m, 1150s, 1090m, 1020w, 950w, 910m, 810m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 310 K): 7.72 – 7.62 (2 d, 4 H); 7.30 – 7.24 (2 d, 4 H); 6.28 (br. t, 1 H); 5.34 – $5.22 (m, 1 H); 5.20 - 4.98 (m, 1 H); 3.80 - 3.55 (m, 3 H); 3.30 - 2.90 (m, 6 H); 2.45 - 2.30 (2s, dd, 7 H); 2.00 - 1.10$ $(m, 15 H)$; 0.90 $(t, J = 7.5, 3 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 310 K): 170.9 (s); 143.6 (s); 142.9 (s); 138.3 (s); 136.5 (s); 132.2 (d); 129.6 (d); 129.5 (d); 127.8 (d); 127.5 (d); 127.2 (d); 60.2 (d); 47.5 (t); 44.6 (t); 41.4 (t); 37.8 (t); 31.4 (t); 29.7 (t); 26.5 (2t); 25.6 (t); 25.0 (t); 23.1 (t); 21.4 (2q); 20.4 (t); 14.2 (q). CI-MS: 604 (100, $[M + H]^+$), 448 (8, $[M - C_7H_7SO_2]^+$).

(4S)-[(4Z)-4-Hept-4-enyl]-1,5,9-triazacyclotridecan-2-one (15). The electrochemical detosylation of 14 (400 mg) in EtOH soln. was performed according to [13]. The reaction was carried out under Ar at 5°. After evaporation of the catholyte, the residue was dissolved in aq. K₂CO₃ soln. and extracted exhaustively with CH_2Cl_2 . Evaporation of the extract yielded 15 (180 mg, 92%). Colorless oil. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.62 (br. t, 1 H); $5.42 - 5.25$ $(m, 2 H)$; $3.50 - 3.38$ $(m, 1 H)$; $3.30 - 3.20$ $(m, 1 H)$; $3.15 - 3.05$ $(m, 1 H)$; $2.95 - 2.80$ $(m, 3 H)$; $2.79 - 2.80$ 2.55 $(m, 4\text{ H})$; 2.41 $(dd, J=14.6, 2.8, 1\text{ H})$; 2.18 $(dd, J=14.6, 9.1$; 1 H); 2.07 - 1.95 $(m, 4\text{ H})$; 1.80 - 1.30 $(m, 11 H); 0.95 (t, J = 7.5, 3 H).$ ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 172.1 (s); 132.0 (d); 128.4 (d); 55.3 (d); 49.2 (t); 48.0 (t); 44.2 (t); 40.6 (t); 39.3 (t); 33.2 (t); 27.8 (t); 26.9 (t); 26.7 (t); 26.6 (t); 25.7 (t); 20.4 (t); 14.2 (q). CI-MS: 296 ($[M +$ H \vert^{+}).

(3S)-N-(4-Aminobutyl)-4-hydroxy-3-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}butanamide (16). A soln. of 1 $(3.0 g, 11.7 mmol)$, 10 $(1.95 g, 22.1 mmol)$, and a cat. amount of Cu(OAc)₂ in CHCl₃ (30 ml) was heated under gentle reflux for 6 h. After evaporation, the residue was purified by CC (SiO₂, MeOH/25% aq. NH₃ soln. 20:1): 3.66 g (91%) of pure 16. Colorless solid. M.p. 136 - 138°. IR (KBr): 3317s, 3260s, 2930s, 2873m, 1651s, 1611m, 1552s, 1495w, 1448m, 1431m, 1330m, 1315s, 1263w, 1237w, 1205w, 1185w, 1159s, 1090s, 1044s, 1020m, 975m, 945w, 911w, 855m, 819s. ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 7.67 (br. *t*, 1 H); 7.72 (d, J = 8.2, 2 H); 7.29 (d, J = 8.1, 2 H); 3.53 – 3.43 $(br, m, 5 H)$; 3.18 $(dd, J=10.8, 4.8, 2 H)$; 2.93 - 2.78 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.53 - 2.38 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.31 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.21 $(dd, J=$ 14.5, 7.2, 1 H); 1.99 (dd, J = 14.5, 6.2, 1 H); 1.35 – 1.13 (m, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 169.6 (s); 142.3 (s); 139.1 (s); 129.5 (d); 126.5 (d); 63.0 (t); 52.7 (d); 41.2 (t); 38.5 (t); 37.6 (t); 30.5 (t); 26.5 (t), 21.0 (q). CI-MS: 344 $(100, [M+H]^+), 189 (25, [M - C_7H_7SO_2]^+).$

()-(3S)-4-Hydroxy-3-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}-N-(4-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}butyl)butanamide (18). At r.t., 16 (10.34 g, 30 mmol) was treated with TsCl (6.30 g, 33 mmol) in DMF (75 ml) in the presence of Et₃N (6.07 g, 60 mmol). After stirring for 5 h, the DMF was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in aq. NaCl soln. and extracted with AcOEt. After washing with aq. NaCl soln. and evaporation, the crude product was further purified by recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/hexane: 12.0 g (81%) of **18**. Colorless crystalline mass. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = +5.29 \ (c = 1.02, \text{MeOH})$. IR (KBr): 3544m, 3331s, 3257s, 3066w, 2930m, 2867m, 1638s, 1597w, 1539s, 1495w, 1480w, 1449m, 1420m, 1385w, 1321s, 1229w, 1156s, 1091s, 1050m, 995m, 921w, 852w, 813s. 1 H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 7.70–7.60 (*m*, 5 H); 7.45 (*t*, *J* = 5.9, 1 H); 7.40–7.32 (2 *d*, 4 H); 4.66 (*t*, *J* = 5.6, 1 H); $3.55 - 3.40$ (m, 1 H); 3.33 (s, 1 H); $3.35 - 3.15$ (m, 2 H); $2.92 - 2.80$ (m, 2 H); $2.75 - 2.65$ (m, 2 H); 2.38 (s, 3 H); 2.36 (s, 3 H); 2.26 (dd, J = 14.6, 7.3, 1 H); 2.03 (dd, J = 14.6, 6.1, 1 H); 1.40 - 1.20 (m, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR $((D₆)DMSO): 169.5$ (s); 142.4 (s); 142.2 (s); 138.9 (s); 137.6 (s); 129.5 (d); 129.4 (d); 126.45 (d); 126.41 (d); 63.0 (t); 52.6 (d); 42.2 (t); 37.9 (t); 37.5 (t); 26.4 (t); 26.1 (t); 20.9 (2q). ESI-MS: 520 (42, $[M + Na]$ ⁺), 498 (100, $[M+H]^+$), 480 (10, $[M-H_2O+H]^+$).

()-(4S)-4-(Hydroxymethyl)-5,9-bis[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-1,5,9-triazacyclotridecan-2-one (20). As described for 14, with 0.20 g (0.40 mmol) of 18. CC (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 14:1) gave 0.19 g (90%) of 20. Colorless foam. $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D} = +9.72$ (c = 1.05, CHCl₃). IR: 3500 – 3200(br.), 3020*m*, 2940*m*, 2860*w*, 1650*s*, 1600*m*, 1530m, 1490w, 1460m, 1400w, 1330s, 1300w, 1290w, 1160s, 1090s, 1040w, 1020w, 950w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.73 $(d, J = 8.3, 2 H)$; 7.64 $(d, J = 8.3, 2 H)$; 7.32 – 7.22 $(2d, 4 H)$; 6.65 $(t, J = 6.3, 1 H)$; 4.00 – 2.80 $(m, 13 H)$; 2.49 $(dd, J=14.9, 2.3, 1 \text{ H}); 2.42 \text{ (s, 3 H)}; 2.39 \text{ (s, 3 H)}; 1.99-1.82 \text{ (m, 2 H)}; 1.80-1.40 \text{ (m, 4 H)}.$ ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 171.5 (s); 143.8 (s); 143.1 (s); 137.6 (s); 135.7 (s); 129.4 (d); 129.6 (d); 127.3 (d); 127.1 (d); 62.5 (t); 60.2 (d); 48.4 (t) ; 46.4 (t) ; 45.5 (t) ; 38.8 (t) ; 38.1 (t) ; 30.2 (t) ; 25.0 (t) ; 23.6 (t) ; 21.4 $(2q)$. CI-MS: 538 $(100, [M + H]^+)$, 520 $(10,$ $[M - H₂O + H]$ ⁺).

Preparation by a known procedure [11].

(3S)-N-(3-Aminopropyl)-4-hydroxy-3-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}butanamide (17). A soln. of 1 $(8.48 \text{ g}, 33.2 \text{ mmol})$ in CHCl₃ (100 ml) was mixed with propane-1,3-diamine $(6.16 \text{ g}, 7.45 \text{ ml}, 83 \text{ mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 8 h. The precipitation of product 17 was brought to completion by addition of some Et₂O. Filtration and subsequent washing with Et₂O gave 10.5 g (96%) of pure 17. Fine colorless powder. IR (KBr): 3310m, 3263s, 2930m, 861m, 1643s, 1557m, 1428m, 1367w, 1305m, 1253w, 1189w, 1158s, 1088m, 1051w, 979m, 860w, 819m. ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 7.68 (m, d, J = 8.2, 3 H); 7.35 (d, J = 8.1, 2 H); 4.25 – 3.85 (br., 4 H); $3.55 - 3.40$ (m, 1 H); 3.27 (dd, $J = 10.8$, 4.8, 1 H); 3.18 (dd, $J = 10.8$, 6.0, 1 H); $3.05 - 2.85$ (m, 2 H); 2.55 - 2.45 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.37 (s, 3 H); 2.27 (dd, J = 14.6, 7.3, 1 H); 2.05 (dd, J = 14.6, 6.0, 1 H); 1.50 - 1.35 (m, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR $((D₆)DMSO): 169.4 (s); 142.1 (s); 138.9 (s); 129.2 (d); 126.3 (d); 62.8 (t); 52.5 (d); 38.8 (t); 37.4 (t); 36.0 (t); 32.6 (t); 3$ (t); 20.8 (q). CI-MS: 330 (87, $[M+H]^+$), 189 (100, $[TsNH_2 + NH_4]^+$).

 $(+)$ -(3S)-4-Hydroxy-3-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}-N-(3-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}propyl)butanamide (19). As described for 18, with 17 (4.0 g, 12.1 mmol). CC (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 10:1) gave 4.74 g (81%) of **19**. $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\text{D}} = +3.38$ (c = 1.07, MeOH). IR (KBr): 3550m, 3287s, 2927m, 2881m, 1641s, 1598w, $1538m, 1494w, 1451w, 1427m, 1327s, 1154s, 1091s, 1039w, 968w, 907w, 856w, 836w, 812m.$ ¹H-NMR $((D_6)DMSO)$: 7.69 - 7.59 (2d, 4 H); 7.45 - 7.30 (2d, m, 5 H); 4.64 (br. t, 1 H); 3.50 - 3.40 (m, 1 H); 3.20 - 3.10 (m, 2 H); 3.00 -2.80 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.75 – 2.60 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.36 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.34 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.24 $(dd, J=14.6, 7.3, 1 H)$; 2.01 $(dd, J=14.6, 6.1,$ 1 H); 1.50 - 1.35 (quint.-like m, 2 H)⁵). ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 169.5 (s); 142.4 (s); 142.1 (s); 138.8 (s); 137.4 (s); 129.5 (d); 129.2 (d); 126.35 (d); 126.29 (d); 62.9 (t); 52.4 (d); 40.3 (t); 37.4 (t); 35.9 (t); 29.0 (t); 20.8 (2q). ESI-MS: 484 (100, $[M+H]^+$), 466 (7, $[M-H_2O+H]^+$).

()-(2S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-1,9-bis[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-1,5,9-triazacyclotridecan-4-one (23). Into a soln. of 19 (0.70 g, 1.45 mmol) in DMSO (15 ml), subsequently K₂CO₃ (0.80 g; 5.78 mmol) and butan-1,4-diol bis(4-methylbenzenesulfonate)⁶) (21; 0.87 g, 2.20 mmol) were introduced. The mixture was heated to 45° for 48 h. Afterwards, H₂O was added and the mixture extracted exhaustively with AcOEt. Washing the org. phase with sat. NaCl soln. and evaporation gave a crude product, which was purified by CC (SiO₂, AcOEt): 0.37 g (48%) of 23. Colorless foam. [α]_D = -4.88 ($c = 1.02$, CHCl₃). IR: 3500 -3200 (br.), 3020*m*, 2920*m*, 2860*w*, 1650*s*, 1600m, 1520s, 1490w, 1460w, 1450m, 1400w, 1330s, 1305m, 1160s, 1120w, 1090s, 1050w, 980w. ¹ H-NMR (CDCl3): 7.76 $(d, J = 8.3, 2 \text{ H})$; 7.73 $(d, J = 8.2, 2 \text{ H})$; 7.30 – 7.25 (2d, 4 H); 6.93 $(t, J = 5.5, 1 \text{ H})$; 4.24 – 4.17 $(m, 1 \text{ H})$; 3.65 – 3.00 $(m, 11 H)$; 2.76 $(dd, J=15.3, 9.6, 1 H)$; 2.50 $(dd, J=15.2, 2.5, 1 H)$; 2.42 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.39 $(s, 3 H)$; 1.95 - 1.40 $(m, 6 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 170.8 (s); 143.5 (s); 143.4 (s); 137.7 (s); 135.0 (s); 129.7 (d); 129.6 (d); 127.4 (d); 127.1 (d); 62.2 (t); 57.7 (d); 50.9 (t); 49.6 (t); 45.6 (t); 38.7 (t); 38.2 (t); 28.5 (t); 27.8 (t); 25.9 (t); 21.5 (q); 21.4 (q). ESI-MS: 538 (100, $[M + H]^+$), 520 (45, $[M - H_2O + H]^+$).

 $3-[$ (4-Aminobutyl)amino]propanenitrile (25). To a soln. of 10 (55.0 g, 0.623 mol) in MeOH (300 ml), acrylonitrile (16.12 g, 20 ml, 0.30 mol) was added dropwise at r.t. during 1 h. After stirring for additional 7 h, the solvent was evaporated. The residue was fractionated at ca. 10 mbar, yielding (after recover of the excess of 10 at ca. 100°) 42.4 g (84%) of 25 (at ca. 130°). Colorless liquid. IR: 3500-3100(br.); 2960s, 2850s, 2240m, 1630m, $1580m$, $1465m$, $1420w$, $1360w$, $1240m$, $1120s$, $1050w$, $960m$. $H\text{-NMR (CDCl}_3)$: 2.76 $(t, J=6.6, 2 \text{ H})$; 2.54 $(t, J=$ 6.7, 2 H); 2.49 (t, J = 6.8, 2 H); 2.36 (t, J = 6.6, 2 H); 1.42 - 1.26 (m, 4 H); 0.97 (br., 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 118.6 (s); 48.8 (t); 44.8 (t); 41.8 (t); 31.2 (t); 27.2 (t); 18.5 (t). CI-MS: 142 ($[M + H]^+$).

N-(2-Cyanoethyl)-4-methyl-N-(4-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}butyl)benzenesulfonamide (26). To a mixture of 25 (10.19 g, 72 mmol) and Et₃N (14.60 g, 20.1 ml, 144 mmol) in THF (100 ml), a soln. of TsCl (27.52 g, 144 mmol) in THF (100 ml) was added dropwise at r.t. The precipitate was filtered off and discarded. The filtrate was subsequently washed with aq. 4N NaOH (in order to hydrolyze any unreacted TsCl) and aq. NaCl soln. Evaporation gave 32.0 g (99%) of 26. Waxy solid. IR: 3400 - 3200(br.), 3020m, 2930m, 2870w, 2225w, 1600m, 1490m, 1455m, 1410m, 1330s, 1305m, 1290w, 1185w, 1160s, 1090s, 1020w, 960m, 815s. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.73 (d, $J = 8.3$, 2 H); 7.67 (d, $J = 8.3$, 2 H); 7.33 - 7.29 (2d, 4 H); 4.92 (t, $J = 6.0$, 1 H); 3.31 (t, $J = 7.0$, 2 H); 3.11 (t, $J = 7.1$, 2 H); 2.97 - 2.91 (q-like m, 2 H); 2.69 (t, $J = 7.0$, 2 H); 2.43 (s, 3 H); 2.42 ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 144.0 (s); 143.3 (s); 136.8 (s); 135.2 (s); 129.9 (d); 129.6 (d); 127.2 (d); 126.9 (d); 117.7 (s); $49.2(t)$; $44.6(t)$; $42.4(t)$; $26.2(t)$; $25.4(t)$; $21.40(q)$; $21.38(q)$; $19.0(t)$. CI-MS: $467(100, [M + NH₄]⁺)$, $450(10,$ $[M+H]^+$), 414 (36, $[M-CH_2=CHCN + NH_4]^+$).

N-{3-Aminopropyl)-4-methyl-N-(4-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}butyl)benzenesulfonamide $(= N⁵,N¹⁰-Ditosylspermiddle; 24)$. A suspension of NaBH₄ (2.19 g, 57.8 mmol) in THF (30 ml) was treated dropwise with a soln. of CF₃COOH (6.59 g, 57.8 mmol, 4.42 ml) in THF (10 ml) at r.t. After 15 min, a soln. of 26

 $5)$ The signals of two exchangeable protons are not detectable in the 1 H-NMR spectra.

Preparation by a known procedure [11].

(5.2 g, 11.6 mmol) in THF (20 ml) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h. Careful addition of H₂O and extraction with AcOEt gave, after separating the org. layer and evaporation, 5.15 g (98%) of 24. Colorless oil. IR: 3480m, 2920s, 2850s, 1595s, 1450s, 1405s, 1330s, 1305s, 1290s, 1230m, 1150s, 1090s, $1035m, 965m, 880s, 810s.$ $\text{H-NMR (CDCl}_3)$: 7.74 $(d, J = 8.2, 2 \text{ H})$; 7.63 $(d, J = 8.2, 2 \text{ H})$; 7.32 – 7.25 $(2d, 4 \text{ H})$; 3.12 $(t, J = 7.1, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.03 $(t, = 7.3, 2 \text{ H})$; 2.89 $(t, = 6.5, 2 \text{ H})$; 2.82 $(t, J = 6.9, 2 \text{ H})$; 2.39 $(2s, 6 \text{ H})$; 1.80 – 1.68 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 1.65 $-$ 1.43 (m, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 143.2 (s); 143.0 (s); 137.0 (s); 136.0 (s); 129.6 (d); 129.5 (d); 127.0 (d); 126.0 (d); 48.5 (t); 46.6 (t); 42.3 (t); 38.5 (t); 30.9 (t); 26.3 (t); 25.9 (t); 21.3 (2q). CI-MS: 454 ($[M + H]^+$).

()-(3S)-4-Hydroxy-3-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}-N-{3-[[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl](4-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}butyl)amino]propyl}butanamide (27). A soln. of 1 (1.20 g, 4.66 mmol) in ⁱ PrOH (45 ml) was stirred in the presence of 24 (2.12 g, 4.66 mmol) and a cat. amount of Cu(OAc), for 4 days. After evaporation, the residue was dissolved in H2O and extracted with AcOEt. Evaporation gave a crude product which was purified by CC (SiO₂, AcOEt), yielding 2.34 g (71%) of **27.** Yellowish waxy solid. [a]_D = +2.92 (c = 1.37, MeOH). IR: 3500-3100(br.), 3030m, 2930m, 2870w, 1650s, 1600m, 1540m, 1490w, 1450m, 1410m, 1330s, $1300m$, $1290w$, $1185w$, $1160s$, $1090s$, $1040w$, $1020w$. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.76 (d, $J = 8.2, 2$ H); 7.71 (d, $J = 8.3, 2$ H); 7.65 $(d, J = 8.3, 2 \text{ H})$; 7.32 – 7.22 $(m, 6 \text{ H})$; 6.85 $(\text{br. } t, 1 \text{ H})$; 6.21 $(\text{br. } d, 1 \text{ H})$; 5.66 $(t, J = 5.8, 1 \text{ H})$; 3.65 – 3.55 $(m, 2 H)$; 3.52 - 3.42 $(m, 1 H)$; 3.40 - 3.15 $(m, 2 H)$; 3.11 $(t, J = 6.7, 2 H)$; 3.02 $(t, J = 7.3, 2 H)$; 3.95 - 3.85 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.65 – 2.40 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.40 $(2s, 6 H)$; 2.39 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.20 – 2.00 $(br, 1 H)$; 1.83 – 1.70 $(m, 2 H)$; 1.70 – 1.45 $(m, 4 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 171.2 (s); 143.4 (s); 143.3 (s); 143.2 (s); 137.2 (s); 136.8 (s); 135.8 (s); 129.7 (2d); 129.6 (d); 127.0 (d); 126.95 (d); 126.89 (d); 64.0 (t); 52.2 (d); 48.8 (t); 46.7 (t); 42.4 (t); 38.9 (t); 36.8 (t); 28.6 (t); 26.3 (t); 25.9 (t); 21.4 (3q. ESI-MS: 747 (15, $[M + K]^+$), 731 (87, $[M + Na]^+$), 709 (100, $[M + H]^+$).

()-(8S)-8-(Hydroxymethyl)-1,9,13-tris[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-1,5,9,13-tetraazacycloheptadecan-6-one (28). As described for 14, with DMSO at r.t. instead of DMF and 27 (0.45 g, 0.63 mmol). CC (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/ MeOH 25:1) gave 0.23 g (48%) of **28**. Colorless foam. $[a]_D = +17.0$ ($c = 1.0$, CHCl₃). IR: 3500–3300(br.), 3020m, 2930m, 2860w, 1665s, 1600m, 1530m, 1490m, 1460m, 1335s, 1300m, 1290w, 1160s, 1090s, 1020w. ¹ H-NMR $(CDCl_3): 7.76 (d, J = 8.2, 2 H); 7.67 (d, J = 8.1, 2 H); 7.60 (d, J = 8.3, 2 H); 7.34 - 7.24 (m, 6 H); 6.65 (br. t, 1 H);$ $4.22 - 4.12$ (m, 1 H); $3.75 - 3.42$ (m, 4 H); $3.32 - 2.55$ (m, 13 H); 2.42 (2s, 6 H); 2.40 (s, 3 H); $2.00 - 1.65$ (m, 4 H); $1.62 - 1.40$ (m, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 170.9 (s); 143.9 (s); 143.6 (s); 143.3 (s); 137.5 (s); 136.4 (s); 135.1 (s); 129.84 (d); 129.81 (d); 129.77 (d); 127.5 (d); 127.2 (d); 127.1 (d); 63.9 (t); 59.00 (d); 49.5 (t); 49.0 (t); 47.0 (2t); 45.2 (t); 37.9 (t); 37.2 (t); 30.5 (t); 30.0 (t); 25.9 (t); 25.8 (t); 21.5 (3q). ESI-MS: 787 (7, [M+K]⁺), 771 (45, [M+ Na]⁺), 749 (100, $[M+H]$ ⁺), 731 (13, $[M-H_2O+H]$ ⁺).

 $(+)$ -Ethyl (3R)-3-[[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino]tetradecanoate (31). As described for 7, with commercially available 1-iododecane $(29; 2.19 \text{ g}, 8.17 \text{ mmol})$. CC $(SiO₂, ACOEt/hexane 1:6)$ gave 1.25 g $(75%)$ of **31.** Colorless oil. $[a]_D = +19.6$ ($c = 1.10$, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 2920, 2850, 1725, 1335, 1155. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.76 $(d, J = 8.3, 2 \text{ H})$; 7.28 $(d, J = 8.4, 2 \text{ H})$; 5.23 $(d, J = 9.1, 1 \text{ H})$; 4.06 $(d, J = 7.1, 2.4, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.60 - 3.45 $(m, 1 \text{ H})$; 2.41 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.40 - 2.32 $(m, 2 H)$; 1.50 - 1.38 $(m, 2 H)$; 1.35 - 1.05 $(m, 21 H)$; 0.88 $(t, J = 7.0, 3 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl3): 171.2, 143.1, 138.1 (3s); 129.4, 126.9 (4d); 60.5 (t); 50.6 (d); 38.8, 34.6, 31.7, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 28.9, 28.8, 25.6, 22.5 (11t); 21.3, 13.9, 13.8 (3q). CI-MS: 443 (100, $[M + NH_4]^+$); 426 (20, $[M + 1]^+$).

 $(+)$ -(3R)-3-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}tetradecanoic Acid (33). A soln. of 31 (1.0 g, 2.35 mmol) in aq. 3N NaOH (50 ml) and EtOH (50 ml) was stirred overnight at r.t. Then, the EtOH was evaporated and the residue extracted with AcOEt. The aq. layer was acidified with aq. 2N HCl to pH 1 and extracted with AcOEt and the extract washed with NaCl soln. and evaporated: 920 mg (98%) of **20**. Colorless powder. $[a]_D = +18.3$ $(c=1.03, CHCl₃)$. IR (CHCl₃): 2920, 2850, 1710, 1305, 1150. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.75 $(d, J=8.3, 2 H)$; 7.60 – 7.35 $(br., 1 H)$; 7.29 $(d, J = 8.4, 2 H)$; 5.45 - 5.30 $(m, 1 H)$; 3.58 - 3.42 $(m, 1 H)$; 2.49 $(d, J = 5.2, 1 H)$; 2.42 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.41 $(d, J = 4.5, 1 \text{ H})$; 1.50 - 1.40 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 1.35 - 1.00 $(m, 18 \text{ H})$; 0.88 $(t, J = 70, 3 \text{ H})$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 176.2, 143.3, 137.7 (3s); 129.5, 126.9, 50.3 (3d, 5 CH); 21.4, 13.9 (2q); 38.6, 34.4, 31.8, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 28.9, 28.8, 25.6, 22.5 (11*t*). CI-MS: 415 (100, $[M + NH_4]^+$), 398 (12, $[M + 1]^+$).

 $(+)$ -(3R)-3-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}-N-{3-[[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl](4-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino]butyl)amino]propyl]tetradecanamide (32). To a mixture of 33 (555 mg, 1.4 mmol), DCC $(317 \text{ mg}, 1.54 \text{ mmol})$, and DMAP $(17 \text{ mg}, 0.14 \text{ mmol})$ in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) , a soln. of 24 (697 mg, 1.54 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3 ml) was added at 5°. The mixture was stirred overnight at r.t., diluted with Et₂O, and filtered, and the filtrate washed with aq. 0.2N HCl. After separation, the org. layer was washed with NaCl soln. and evaporated. Purification by CC (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 98:2) afforded 1.1 g (94%) of **32**. Colorless oil. [α]_D = +5.4 (c = 2.06, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 2920, 2850, 1650, 1520. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.75, 7.72, 7.64 (3*d, J* = 8.3, 6 H); 7.29 (3*d, J* = 7.5, 6 H); 6.53 (t, J = 5.9, 1 H); 5.95 (d, J = 8.0, 1 H); 5.50 (br. t, 1 H); 3.55 - 3.41 (m, 1 H); 3.33 - 3.23 (m, 2 H); $3.16 - 3.08$ (m, 2 H); 3.03 (t, $J = 7.3$, 2 H); $2.95 - 2.85$ (q-like m, 2 H); 2.41, 2.40 (2s, 9 H); 2.34 (d, $J = 5.0$, 1 H); 2.26 $(dd, J = 14.6, 5.7, 1 H)$; 1.80 - 1.41 $(m, 6 H)$; 1.40 - 1.00 $(m, 20 H)$; 0.87 $(t, J = 7.0, 3 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 170.9, 143.3, 143.0, 142.2, 138.0, 136.8, 135.8 (7s); 129.6, 129.5, 127.0, 126.9, 51.5 (5d, 13 CH); 48.8, 46.7, 42.4, 40.6, 36.4, 34.5, 31.8, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 29.0, 28.6, 28.3, 26.5, 25.6, 22.5 (17t, 18 CH2); 21.3, 14.0 (2q, 4 Me). ESI-MS: 855 (20, $[M + Na]^+$), 833 (100, $[M + 1]^+$).

(8R)-1,9,13-Tris[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-8-undecyl-1,5,9,13-tetraazacycloheptadecan-6-one (34) and (3R)-3-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}-N-{3-[[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl](4-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]- (prop-2-enyl)amino}butyl)amino]propyl}tetradecanamide (35). To a suspension of 32 (1.0 g, 1.20 mmol) and $Cs₂CO₃$ (821 mg, 2.52 mmol) in DMF (300 ml), 1,3-dibromopropane (266 mg, 1.32 mmol) was added dropwise over ca. 12 h. The mixture was stirred for 20 h at r.t. After evaporation, the residue was dissolved in CHCl₃, the soln. washed with H_2O , dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated, and the residue submitted to CC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/ MeOH 98:2): 870 mg (83%) of unseparable 34/35. Colorless oil.

 $(-)$ -(8R)-8-Undecyl-1,5,9,13-tetraazacycloheptadecan-6-one (36) and $(-)$ -(3R)-3-Amino-N-(3-[[4-(prop-2-enylamino)butyl]amino]propyl)tetradecanamide (37). As described for 15, with 34/35. CC (SiO₂, CHCl₃/ MeOH/25% aq. NH3 soln. 15 : 4 : 1) yielded 152 mg (47%) of 36 and 132 mg (41%) of 37, both as colorless oils.

Data for **36**: $[\alpha]_D = -14.2$ (c = 1.15, CHCl₃). IR: 2920, 2850, 1640, 1520. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.48 (br. *t*, 1 H); $3.49 - 3.22$ (m, 2 H); $2.90 - 2.80$ (m, 1 H); $2.80 - 2.60$ (m, 10 H); 2.36 (dd, $J = 15.3$, 3.4, 1 H); 2.14 (dd, $J = 15.3$, 7.7, 1 H); 1.99 (br. s, 3 H); 1.75 – 1.40 (m, 8 H); 1.35 – 1.20 (m, 20 H); 0.88 (t, $J = 7.0$, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 172.3 (s); 55.6 (d); 48.6, 48.3, 48.2, 47.6, 45.9, 40.4, 37.8, 34.1, 31.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.3, 29.0, 26.8, 25.9, 22.6 (16t, 21 CH₂); 14.1 (q). ESI-MS: 411 ($[M+1]^+$).

Data for **37.** IR: 2920, 2850, 1640, 1520. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.74 (br. s, 1 H); 5.88 (ddt, J = 17.1, 10.2, 6.0, 1 H); 5.18 (ddt, J = 17.1, 1.6, 1 H); 5.08 (ddt, J = 10.2, 1.6, 1 H); 3.32 (q, J = 6.1, 2 H); 3.25 (ddd, J = 6.0, 1.3, 2 H); $3.11 - 3.09$ (m, 1 H); 2.69 (t, J = 6.5, 2 H); 2.65 - 2.60 (m, 4 H); 2.31 (dd, J = 15.0, 3.3, 1 H); 2.06 (dd, J = 15.0, 9.2, 1 H); 1.84 (br. s, 4 H); 1.69 (quint.-like m, 2 H); 1.57 - 1.52 (m, 4 H); 1.32 - 1.20 (m, 20 H); 0.88 (t, $J = 6.5$, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 172.0 (s); 136.5 (d); 115.8, 52.2 (2t); 48.7 (d); 49.5, 48.9, 47.6, 43.7, 38.3, 37.7, 31.7, 29.4, 29.2, 29.0, 27.7, 27.6, 25.9, 22.5 (14t, 18 CH₂); 13.9 (q). ESI-MS: 41 ($[M+1]^+$).

 $(-)$ -(8R)-1,9,13-Trimethyl-8-undecyl-1,5,9,13-tetraazacycloheptadecan-6-one $=(-)$ -(R)-Budmunchiamine A; 3). To a stirred soln. of 36 (41 mg, 0.1 mmol) in AcOH(5 ml) at 0° , 37% formalin (1.5 ml) was added, and stirring was continued for 7 min. Then NaCNBH₃ (124 mg, 2 mmol) in MeOH (0.5 ml) was added and stirred overnight at r.t. The mixture was quenched with aq. 2N HCl and evaporated, the residue taken up in sat. aq. K_2CO_3 , the mixture extracted with CH₂Cl₂, the org. phase dried (Na₂SO₄) and evaporated, and the residue purified by CC (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH/25% aq. NH₃ soln. 90:10:0.7): 40 mg (88%) of **3**. Colorless oil. [a]_D = -16.3 (c = 1.01, CHCl₃). IR: 3420, 2920, 2850, 2800, 1640, 1520. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.42 (br. t, 1 H); 3.37 (dt, J = 6.7, 6.3, 2 H); 2.92 - 2.80 $(m, 1 H)$; 2.64 $(dt, J = 12.0, 7.0, 1 H)$; 2.50 - 2.23 $(m, 14 H)$; 2.22 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.21 $(s, 3 H)$; $1.71 - 1.60$ (m, 4 H); $1.56 - 1.52$ (m, 4 H); $1.30 - 1.25$ (m, 20 H); 0.88 (t, J = 7.0, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 172.6 (s); 61.2 (d); 56.4, 56.1, 55.5, 54.3, 51.3 (5t, 6 CH2); 42.4, 42.1 (2q); 37.2, 37.4 (2t, 4 CH2); 35.3 (q); 31.7, 29.7, 29.4, 29.1, 27.4, 27.3, 27.1, 25.2, 24.3, 23.2, 22.5 (11t); 13.9 (q). ESI-MS: 453 ($[M+1]^+$).

Ethyl $(+)$ -(3S)-3-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}-4-(octylthio)butanoate (39). To a soln. of KOH $(0.41 \text{ g}, 7.3 \text{ mmol})$ and octane-1-thiol $(1.07 \text{ g}, 1.27 \text{ ml}, 7.3 \text{ mmol})$ in EtOH (15 ml) a soln. of 2 $(2.0 \text{ g}, 4.9 \text{ mmol})$ in EtOH (15 ml) was added slowly and dropwise at r.t. Then, the mixture was evaporated and the product separated by CC (hexane/AcOEt 4:1): 1.86 g (895) of **39**. Colorless oil. $[a]_D = +9.50$ ($c = 1.00$, CHCl₃). IR: 3330m, 3020w, 2980w, 2950m, 2920s, 2850m, 1720s, 1600m, 1410m, 1375m, 1335m, 1300m, 1180w, 1160s, 1090m, $1060w$, $1025m$, $960m$, $875w$. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.76 (d, J = 8.3, 2 H); 7.30 (d, J = 7.9, 2 H); 5.36 (d, J = 7.8, 1 H); 4.13 -4.01 (m, 2 H); 3.68 -3.60 (m, 1 H); 2.77 (dd, J = 4.9, 16.5, 1 H); 2.63 (d, J = 4.9, 2 H); 2.51 (dd, J = 6.3, $16.5, 1 \text{ H}$); 2.43 (s, 3 H); 2.33 – 2.24 (m, 2 H); 1.46 – 1.39 (m, 2 H); 1.33 – 1.19 (m, t, J = 7.1, 13 H); 0.89 (t, J = 7.0, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 170.9 (s); 143.4 (s); 137.4 (s); 129.6 (d); 127.1 (d); 60.7 (t); 49.8 (d); 37.4 (t); 36.2 (t); 32.3 (t); 31.7 (t); 29.3 (t); 29.0 (2t); 28.7 (t); 22.5 (t); 21.4 (q); 14.0 (2q). ESI-MS: 452 ($[M + Na]^+$).

()-(3S)-N-(4-Aminobutyl)-3-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}4-(octylthio)butanamide (40). As described for **11**, with **39** (1.86 g, 4.4 mmol): 2.03 g (quant.) of **40**. Colorless oil. $[a]_D = +7.98$ ($c = 1.10$, MeOH). IR: 3500 ± 3100(br.), 3440m, 3020w, 3000m, 2930m, 2860s, 1660s, 1600w, 1525m, 1470m, 1405m, 1380w, 1330m, $1305w$, $1290w$, $1220w$, $1185w$, $1160s$, $1120w$, $1095s$, $1020w$, $960m$, $880m$. $H\text{-NMR (CDCl}_3)$: $7.76(d, J = 8.3, 2 H)$; 7.30 $(d, J = 8.0, 2 H)$; 6.65 (br. t, 1 H); 3.58 - 3.50 $(m, 1 H)$; 3.23 - 3.17 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.80 - 2.44 $(m, 8 H)$; 2.42 - 2.37 $(m, s, 4H)$; 2.32 – 2.20 $(m, 2H)$; 1.57 – 1.35 $(m, 6H)$; 1.33 – 1.20 $(m, 10H)$; 0.89 $(t, J = 70, 3H)$.¹³C-NMR $(CDCl₃)$: 170.1 (s); 143.3 (s); 137.4 (s); 129.6 (d); 127.1 (d); 50.5 (d); 41.3 (t); 39.1 (t); 38.5 (t); 36.2 (t); 32.1 (t); 31.7 (t); 30.3 (t); 29.4 (t); 29.0 (2t); 28.7 (t); 26.66 (t); 22.5 (t); 21.4 (q); 13.9 (q). ESI-MS: 494 (18, $[M + Na]^+$), 472 (100, $[M + H]^+$).

()-(3S)-3-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}-N-(4-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino}butyl)-4-(octylthio)butanamide (41). As described for 12, with 40 (0.88 g, 1.87 mmol): 1.17 g (quant.) of 41. Colorless oil, which

slowly solidified. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = +5.22$ (c = 1.48, CHCl₃).IR: 3480-3100(br.), 2990w, 2920s, 2860m, 1660s, 1600m, 1520m, 1410m, 1330s, 1300m, 1290w, 1160s, 1120w, 1090s, 1020w, 950w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.78–7.73 (t-like m, 4 H); 7.30 - 7.28 (d-like m, 4 H); 6.27 (br. t, 1 H); 6.05 (br. d, 1 H); 5.37 (br. t, 1 H); 3.60 - 3.50 (m, 1 H); $3.20 - 3.10$ (m, 2 H); $2.95 - 2.85$ (m, 2 H); $2.65 - 2.45$ (m, 4 H); 2.41 (s, 6 H); $2.26 - 2.15$ (m, 2 H); $1.55 - 1.45$ $(m, 4\text{ H}); 1.43-1.32 (m, 2\text{ H}); 1.30-1.20 (m, 10\text{ H}); 0.88 (t, J=6.4, 3\text{ H}).$ ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 170.3 (s); 143.4 (s) ; 143.2 (s) ; 137.3 (s) ; 136.7 (s) ; 129.6 $(2d)$; 127.1 (d) ; 127.0 (d) ; 50.6 (d) ; 42.7 (t) ; 39.0 (t) ; 38.7 (t) ; 36.3 (t) ; 32.1 (t) ; 31.7 (t) ; 29.3 (t) ; 29.05 $(2t)$; 28.7 (t) ; 26.6 (t) ; 26.2 (t) ; 22.5 (t) ; 21.4 $(2q)$; 14.0 (q) . ESI-MS: 648 $([M + Na]^+)$.

()-(4S)-5,9-Bis[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]4-[(octylthio)methyl]-1,5,9-triazacyclotridecan-2-one (42). As described for 14, with 41 (1.12 g, 1.79 mmol). CC (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1) gave 0.97 g (81%) of 42. Yellowish oil. $[\alpha]_D = +20.7$ (c = 1.1, CHCl₃). IR: 3440w, 3380w, 3020w, 2920s, 2850s, 1660s, 1600m, 1520m, 1450m, 1330s, $1300w$, $1155s$, $1090m$, $1035w$, $990w$, $950w$, $915w$. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.72 $(d, J = 8.3, 2 H)$; 7.64 $(d, J = 8.3, 2 H)$; $7.29 - 7.27$ (2d, 4 H); $6.40 - 6.36$ (m, 1 H); $4.00 - 3.85$ (m, 1 H); $3.72 - 3.58$ (m, 1 H); $3.32 - 3.20$ (m, 1 H); $3.15 -$ 2.85 $(m, 8 H)$; 2.78 $(dd, J=15.9, 2.6, 1 H)$; 2.65 - 2.45 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.42 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.38 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.35 - 2.28 $(m, 1 H)$; 2.00 -1.75 (m, 2 H); 1.72 -1.35 (m, 6 H); 1.32 -1.18 (m, 10 H); 0.88 (t, J = 6.5, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 170.7 (s) ; 143.7 (s) ; 142.9 (s) ; 137.8 (s) ; 136.2 (s) ; 129.6 (d) ; 129.5 (d) ; 127.6 (d) ; 127.0 (d) ; 59.0 (d) ; 47.5 (t) ; 46.7 (t) ; 45.7 (t); 44.7 (t); 39.6 (t); 37.4 (t); 34.2 (t); 32.3 (t); 31.6 (t); 29.6 (t); 29.3 (t); 29.0 (t); 28.7 (t); 25.1 (t); 23.0 (t); 22.5 (t): 21.3 ($2a$): 14.0 (a), ESI-MS: 666 ([$M + H$]⁺).

(4S)-5,9-Bis[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]4-[(octylsulfinyl)methyl]-1,5,9-triazacyclotridecan-2-one (43)7). A soln. of 42 (0.37 g, 0.56 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) was cooled to -45° . Then *m*CPBA (96 mg, 0.56 mmol)⁸) was added and the temp. slowly raised to -20° within 1 h. The cold mixture was quenched with aq. Na₂SO₃ soln. After addition of some aq. 2N NaOH, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. Evaporation and CC (SiO₂), CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 20:1) gave 0.33 g (87%) of 43. Colorless oil. IR: 3440w, 3390w, 3020w, 2980m, 2920s, 2860m, 1665s, 1600m, 1520m, 1450m, 1400w, 1335s, 1300m, 1290w, 1155s, 1090m, 1020m, 950w, 910w. ¹ H-NMR (CDCl3): $7.82 - 7.58$ $(m, 4 H)$; $7.35 - 7.20$ $(m, 4 H)$; $6.50 - 6.25$ $(m, 1 H)$; $4.60 - 4.25$ $(m, 1 H)$; $3.70 - 2.48$ $(m, 14 H)$; $2.45 -$ 2.35 $(m, 6 H)$; 2.15 - 1.15 $(m, 18 H)$; 0.88 $(t, J = 5.4, 3 H)$. ESI-MS: 720 (38, $[M + K]^+$), 704 (100, $[M + Na]^+$), 682 (13, $[M + H]$ ⁺).

()-(4S)-5,9-Bis[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-4-[(octylsulfonyl)methyl]-1,5,9-triazacyclotridecan-2-one (44). To a soln. of 42 (0.50 g, 0.75 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) at r.t., mCPBA (0.32 g, 1.88 mmol) was added in one portion. After stirring for 4 h, the mixture was poured in aq. 2N NaOH and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. Evaporation and CC (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 25:1) yielded 0.49 g (94%) of **44**. Colorless foam. [a]_D = -5.7 (c = 1.05, CHCl₃). IR: 3540w, 3440w, 3380m, 3020w, 2920s, 2860s, 1665s, 1600m, 1520m, 1460m, 1400w, 1330s, 1155s, 1130s, 1090s, $1035w$, $1020w$, $950w$, $910w$. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.78 (d, J = 8.3, 2 H); 7.61 (d, J = 8.3, 2 H); 7.30 – 7.22 (2d, 4 H); 6.48 (br. t, 1 H); 4.70 - 4.55 $(m, 1 H)$; 3.80 - 3.65 $(m, 1 H)$; 3.40 - 2.70 $(m, 13 H)$; 2.42 $(s, 3 H)$; 2.35 $(s, 3 H)$; $2.05 - 1.85$ (m, 2 H); 1.80 - 1.42 (m, 6 H); 1.40 - 1.20 (m, 10 H); 0.88 (t, J = 6.5, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 169.7 (s) ; 144.1 (s) ; 143.2 (s) ; 136.3 (s) ; 135.6 (s) ; 129.7 (d) ; 129.6 (d) ; 128.0 (d) ; 127.0 (d) ; 54.2 (t) ; 53.8 (t) ; 51.6 (d) ; 48.9 (t); 46.0 (t); 43.8 (t); 40.9 (t); 37.4 (t); 31.5 (t); 30.6 (t); 28.9 (t); 28.8 (t); 28.2 (t); 25.6 (t); 24.1 (t); 22.4 (t); 21.7 (t); 21.4 (2q); 13.9 (q). ESI-MS: 720 (100, $[M + Na]^+$); 698 (8, $[M + H]^+$).

(4S)-4-[(Octylsulfinyl)methyl]-1,5,9-triazacyclotridecan-2-one (45). As described for 15: 45 (0.50 g, 1.34 mmol). IR: 3500-3120(br.), 2990m, 2955s, 2920s, 2850s, 1640s, 1540m, 1460m, 1430m, 1375w, 1300w, $1200m, 1130m, 1020m, 910m.$ ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): $9.05 - 8.80$ $(m, 1 \text{ H})$; $3.50 - 3.32$ $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; $3.25 - 2.50$ $(m, 12 \text{ H})$; $2.32 - 2.15$ $(m, 1 H)$; $2.00 - 1.20$ $(m, 20 H)$; 0.88 $(t, J = 6.6, 3 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 170.3 (s) ; 170.0 (s) ; 56.4 (t) ; 56.0 (t); 53.2 (t); 52.8 (t); 52.6 (d); 50.5 (d); 49.9 (t); 49.8 (t); 47.8 (t); 47.5 (t); 44.0 (t); 39.9 (t); 39.6 (t); 31.5 (t); 28.9 (t); 28.8 (t); 28.7 (t); 28.6 (t); 28.4 (t); 28.3 (t); 27.7 (t); 27.4 (t); 27.3 (t); 22.4 (t); 22.2 (t); 13.9 (q). ESI-MS: 374 $([M + H]^+).$

()-(4S)-4-[(Octylsulfonyl)methyl]-1,5,9-triazacyclotridecan-2-one (46). Electrochemical detosylation was performed as described for **15: 46** (0.50 g, 1.28 mmol). $[a]_D = +26.19$ ($c = 1.05$, CHCl₃). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.8 $(br. t, 1 H);$ 3.70 – 3.55 $(m, 1 H);$ 3.50 – 2.70 $(m, 13 H);$ 2.29 $(dd, J = 14.0, 6.6, 1 H);$ 1.90 – 1.50 $(m, 8 H);$ 1.50 – 1.20 $(m, 12 H)$; 0.88 $(t, J = 6.6, 3 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃); 170.2 (s) ; 55.0 (t) ; 54.5 (t) ; 50.3 (d) ; 49.5 (t) ; 48.3 (t) ; 44.2 (t); 40.5 (t); 39.5 (t); 31.5 (t); 28.9 (t); 28.8 (t); 28.3 (t); 27.7 (t); 27.0 (t); 26.8 (t); 22.4 (t); 21.8 (t); 13.9 (q). ESI-MS: 390 ($[M + H]$ ⁺).

⁷⁾ Due to the newly formed stereogenic center at the S-atom, 43 is a mixture of two diastereoisomers. This restricted the spectroscopic characterization.

Commercially available mCPBA normally contains up to 20% of H_2O for safety reasons; the reported amounts are calculated for pure mCPBA.

()-(4S)-4-(Octylthio)methyl-1,5,9-triazacyclotridecan-2-one (47). Electrochemical detosylation was performed as described for **15**: **47** (0.50 g, 1.40 mmol). [α]_D = +28.9 (c = 1.2, CHCl₃). IR: 3214*m*, 3019*m*, 2929s, 2855s, 1638s, 1544s, 1468m, 1437m, 1377w, 1342w, 1303w, 1220m, 1130m, 1051w, 957w, 905w, 825w. ¹ H-NMR $(CDL₃)$: 8.78 (br. t, 1 H); 3.35 - 3.45 (m, 1 H); 3.18 - 3.05 (m, 1 H); 3.02 - 2.92 (m, 1 H); 2.90 - 2.78 (m, 2 H); $2.75 - 2.40$ (m, 9 H); 2.22 (dd, J = 15.0, 8.2, 1 H); 1.80 - 1.45 (m, 10 H); 1.42 - 1.20 (m, 10 H); 0.88 (t, J = 6.6, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 171.3 (s); 54.3 (d); 49.3 (t); 47.3 (t); 43.6 (t); 40.2 (t); 39.4 (t); 36.6 (t); 32.7 (t); 31.7 (t); 29.7 (t); 29.0 (2t); 28.7 (2t); 27.3 (t); 26.9 (t); 22.5 (t); 13.9 (q). ESI-MS: 358 ($[M + H]$ ⁺).

X-Ray Crystal-Structure Determination of 149). The data-collection and refinement parameters are summarized in the Table, and a view of the molecule is shown in the Figure. All measurements were made on a *Rigaku AFC5R* diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K_a radiation (λ 0.71069 Å) and a 12-kW rotating-anode generator. The ω scan mode was employed for data collection, which included the measurement of the Friedel opposites of all symmetry-unique reflections. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and an empirical absorption correction, based on azimuthal scans of several reflections [17], was also applied. Equivalent reflections other than Friedel pairs were merged. The structure was solved by direct methods with SIR92 [18], which revealed the positions of all non-H-atoms. There are two symmetryindependent molecules in the asymmetric unit. The atomic coordinates of the two molecules were tested carefully for a relationship from a higher-symmetry space group with the program PLATON [19], but none could be found. The non-H-atoms were refined anisotropically. All of the H-atoms were fixed in geometrically calculated positions $(d(C-H) = 0.95 \text{ Å})$, and each was assigned a fixed isotropic displacement parameter with a value equal to 1.2 U_{eq} of its parent atom. Refinement of the structure was carried out on F with full-matrix leastsquares procedures, which minimized the function $\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$. A correction for secondary extinction was applied. The absolute configuration of the molecule was determined confidently by the diffraction experiment (absolute structure parameter $= -0.06(6)$) [20]. Neutral-atom scattering factors for non-H-atoms were taken from Maslen et al. [21a], and the scattering factors for H-atoms from Stewart et al. [22]. Anomalous dispersion effects were included in F_c [23]; the values for f' and f'' were those of *Creagh* and *McAuley* [20b]. The values of the mass attenuation coefficients are those of Creagh and Hubbel [20c]. All calculations were performed with the teXsan crystallographic software package [24].

Crystallized from	EtOH	$D_{\rm v}$ [g cm ⁻³]	1.261
Empirical formula	$C_{31}H_{45}N_3O_5S_2$	$\mu(MoK_{\alpha})$ [mm ⁻¹]	0.210
Formula weight $\lceil g \text{ mol}^{-1} \rceil$	603.83	$2\theta_{(\text{max})}$ [°]	55
Crystal color, habit	colorless, plate	Transmission factors (min; max)	0.686; 1.000
Crystal dimensions [mm]		$0.10 \times 0.65 \times 0.68$ Total reflections measured	16224
Temperature $[K]$	173(1)	Symmetry independent reflections 14538	
Crystal system	monoclinic	Reflections used $(I > 2\sigma(I))$	8900
Space group	$P2_1$	Parameters refined	738
Z	4	Final R	0.0521
Reflections for cell determination 25		wR	0.0427
20 range for cell determination $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ 24 – 37		Weights:	$[\sigma^2(F_0) + (0.005 F_0)^2]^{-1}$
Unit-cell parameters a [Å]	11.160(8)	Goodness-of-fit	1.639
b [Å]	9.243(6)	Final $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\sigma$	0.0009
c[A]	30.874(4)	$\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³]	$0.38:-0.36$
β [$^{\circ}$]	92.97(3)		
$V[\AA^3]$	3180(3)		

⁹⁾ Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structure of 14 have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication No. CCDC-179449. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: 44 (1223) 336 033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

1758 
  ± Vol. 85 (2002)

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Pezzuto, W. Mar, L.-Z. Lin, G. A. Cordell, A. Neszmelyi, H. Wagner, Heterocycles 1991, 32, 1961.
- [2] G. M. Rukunga, P. G. Waterman, Phytochemistry 1996, 42, 1211.
- [3] C. W. Jefford, J. Wang, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 1111.
- [4] C. W. Jefford, J. B. Wang, Z.-H. Lu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7557.
- [5] C. W. Jefford, J. McNulty, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1994, 77, 2142.
- [6] C. W. Jefford, J. McNulty, Z.-H. Lu, J. B. Wang, Helv. Chim. Acta 1996, 79, 1203.
- [7] S. C. Bergmeier, W. K. Lee, H. Rapoport, *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, 58, 5019.
- [8] J. E. Richman, T. J. Atkins, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 2268.
- [9] B. K. Vriesema, J. Buter, R. M. Kellogg, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 110.
- [10] F. Chavez, A. D. Sherry, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2990.
- [11] M. Ouchi, Y. Inoue, Y. Liu, S. Nagamune, S. Nakamura, K. Wada, T. Hakushi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1990, 63, 1260.
- [12] A. Guggisberg, P. v. d. Broek, M. Hesse, H. Schmid, F. Schneider, K. Bernauer, Helv. Chim. Acta 1976, 59, 3013.
- [13] C. Goulaouic-Dubois, A. Guggisberg, M. Hesse, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 5969.
- [14] C. K. Johnson, 'ORTEP II', Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 1976.
- [15] N. Umino, T. Iwakuma, N. Itoh, Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 17, 2875.
- [16] K. Popaj, M. Hesse, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2001, 84, 180.
- [17] A. C. T. North, D. C. Phillips, F. S. Mathews, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1968, 351.
- [18] A. Altomare, G. Gascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, M. C. Burla, G. Polidori, M. Camalli, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1994, 435.
- [19] A. L. Spek, 'PLATON, Program for the Analysis of Molecular Geometry', University of Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2001.
- [20] a) H. D. Flack, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1983, 39, 876; b) G. Bernardinelli, H. D. Flack, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1985, 41, 500.
- [21] a) E. N. Maslen, A. G. Fox, M. A. O'Keefe, in 'International Tables for Crystallography', A. J. C. Wilson, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, Vol. C, p. 477, Table 6.1.1.1; b) D. C. Creagh, W. J. McAuley, in ¹International Tables for Crystallography², A. J. C. Wilson, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, Vol. C, p. 219, Table 4.2.6.8; c) D. C. Creagh, J. H. Hubbel, in −International Tables for Crystallography', A. J. C. Wilson, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, Vol. C, p. 200, Table 4.2.4.3.
- [22] R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, W. T. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 42, 3175.
- [23] J. A. Ibers, W. C. Hamilton, Acta Crystallogr. 1964, 17, 781.
- [24] $^{\circ}$ teXsan: Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software', Version 1.10b, Molecular Structure Corporation, The Woodlands, Texas, 1999.

Received March 2, 2002